

Terms of Reference for Peer Reviewers

Status Review of the Common Thresher Shark (*Alopias vulpinus*) and Bigeye Thresher Shark (*Alopias superciliosus*)

- ✓ **Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness and application of data used in the Status Review document.**
 1. In general, does the Status Review include and cite the best scientific and commercial information available on the species, its biology, stock structure, habitats, threats, and risks of extinction?
 2. Are the scientific conclusions factually supported, sound, and logical?
 3. Where available, are opposing scientific studies or theories acknowledged and discussed?
 4. Are uncertainties assessed and clearly stated?

- ✓ **Evaluate the findings made in “Assessment of Extinction Risk for the Common Thresher Shark and Bigeye Thresher Shark”**
 1. Are the results of the Distinct Population Segment Analysis supported by the information presented?
 2. Are the methods used for the Extinction Risk Analysis valid and appropriate?
 3. Are the results and conclusions of the Extinction Risk Analysis supported by the information presented?

All information associated with the Status Review document is to remain strictly confidential until the Status Review is posted to the NMFS/PR website and/or the Federal Register by NMFS