

NOTICE OF OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ACTION

Date 07/05/2012

Department of Commerce  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
FOR CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: Simon Szykman  
FOR CLEARANCE OFFICER: Diana Hynek

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB has taken action on your request received 03/05/2012

ACTION REQUESTED: Extension without change of a currently approved collection  
TYPE OF REVIEW REQUESTED: Regular  
ICR REFERENCE NUMBER: 201202-0648-010  
AGENCY ICR TRACKING NUMBER:  
TITLE: Pacific Islands Region Seabird-Fisheries Interaction Recovery Reporting  
LIST OF INFORMATION COLLECTIONS: See next page

OMB ACTION: Approved without change  
OMB CONTROL NUMBER: 0648-0456

The agency is required to display the OMB Control Number and inform respondents of its legal significance in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.5(b).

EXPIRATION DATE: 07/31/2015

DISCONTINUE DATE:

BURDEN:	RESPONSES	HOURS	COSTS
Previous	1	3	80
New	1	3	80
Difference			
Change due to New Statute	0	0	0
Change due to Agency Discretion	0	0	0
Change due to Agency Adjustment	0	0	0
Change Due to Potential Violation of the PRA	0	0	0

TERMS OF CLEARANCE:

OMB Authorizing Official:

Kevin F. Neyland  
Deputy Administrator,  
Office Of Information And Regulatory Affairs

List of ICs

IC Title	Form No.	Form Name	CFR Citation
Pacific Islands Region Seabird-Fisheries Interaction Recovery Reporting	NA	Short-tailed Albatross Recovery Data Form	

# PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

**Please read the instructions before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this form, contact your agency's Paperwork Clearance Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the supporting statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503.**

1. Agency/Subagency originating request	2. OMB control number <span style="float: right;">b. <input type="checkbox"/> None</span> a. _____ - _____
3. Type of information collection ( <i>check one</i> ) a. <input type="checkbox"/> New Collection b. <input type="checkbox"/> Revision of a currently approved collection c. <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of a currently approved collection d. <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired e. <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired f. <input type="checkbox"/> Existing collection in use without an OMB control number For b-f, note Item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions	4. Type of review requested ( <i>check one</i> ) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Regular submission b. <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency - Approval requested by _____ / _____ / _____ c. <input type="checkbox"/> Delegated
7. Title	5. Small entities Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
8. Agency form number(s) ( <i>if applicable</i> )	6. Requested expiration date a. <input type="checkbox"/> Three years from approval date b. <input type="checkbox"/> Other Specify: _____ / _____
9. Keywords	
10. Abstract	
11. Affected public ( <i>Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "x"</i> ) a. ___ Individuals or households d. ___ Farms b. ___ Business or other for-profit e. ___ Federal Government c. ___ Not-for-profit institutions f. ___ State, Local or Tribal Government	12. Obligation to respond ( <i>check one</i> ) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Voluntary b. <input type="checkbox"/> Required to obtain or retain benefits c. <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory
13. Annual recordkeeping and reporting burden a. Number of respondents _____ b. Total annual responses _____ 1. Percentage of these responses collected electronically _____ % c. Total annual hours requested _____ d. Current OMB inventory _____ e. Difference _____ f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change _____ 2. Adjustment _____	14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden ( <i>in thousands of dollars</i> ) a. Total annualized capital/startup costs _____ b. Total annual costs (O&M) _____ c. Total annualized cost requested _____ d. Current OMB inventory _____ e. Difference _____ f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change _____ 2. Adjustment _____
15. Purpose of information collection ( <i>Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X"</i> ) a. ___ Application for benefits e. ___ Program planning or management b. ___ Program evaluation f. ___ Research c. ___ General purpose statistics g. ___ Regulatory or compliance d. ___ Audit	16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting ( <i>check all that apply</i> ) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Recordkeeping b. <input type="checkbox"/> Third party disclosure c. <input type="checkbox"/> Reporting 1. <input type="checkbox"/> On occasion 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-annually 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Annually 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Biennially 8. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) _____
17. Statistical methods Does this information collection employ statistical methods <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	18. Agency Contact (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this submission)  Name: _____ Phone: _____

## 19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of this Federal Agency, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9

**NOTE:** The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3), appear at the end of the instructions. *The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.*

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collection of information, that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It used plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention period for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
  - (i) Why the information is being collected;
  - (ii) Use of information;
  - (iii) Burden estimate;
  - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, mandatory);
  - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
  - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to be collected (see note in Item 19 of instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of the provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in Item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Signature of Senior Official or designee

Date

Agency Certification (signature of Assistant Administrator, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Line Office Chief Information Officer, head of MB staff for L.O.s, or of the Director of a Program or StaffOffice)

Signature

Date

Signature of NOAA Clearance Officer

Signature

Date

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT  
PACIFIC ISLANDS REGION SEABIRD-FISHERIES INTERACTION REPORTING  
OMB CONTROL NO. 0648-0456**

This request is for extension of a current information collection.

**A. JUSTIFICATION**

**1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.**

Under the authority of the [Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act](#), 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.* (Magnuson-Stevens Act), Federal regulations have been promulgated to reduce the incidental catch of seabirds in the Hawaii pelagic longline fishery. Three of the measures pertain to reporting requirements triggered by an incidental take of a short-tailed albatross by a Hawaii-based longline fishing vessel (Hawaii longliners). This collection is one of the terms and conditions contained in the biological opinion (BiOp)<sup>1</sup>, issued by the United States (U.S.) Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, for the effects of the Hawaii longline fishing fleet on the endangered short-tailed albatross (*Phoebastria albatrus*).

**2. Explain how, by whom, how frequently, and for what purpose the information will be used.**

If a short-tailed albatross is hooked or entangled by a Hawaii longliner, Federal regulations at [50 CFR 665.815](#) require that the injured (or dead) albatross be brought on board the vessel. Furthermore, the captain must then: (a) contact National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), the United States Coast Guard (USCG), or the FWS immediately, and (b) complete a short-tailed albatross recovery data form. If the retrieved albatross is dead, the captain (c) must attach information tags to the carcass and specimen bag (these are not specific tags or bags, simply whatever the fisherman has at hand, that will work for this purpose).

Immediate notification of an injured short-tailed albatross having been brought on board enables NMFS, USCG, or FWS to arrange for ship-to-shore communication between a veterinarian and the vessel operator. The information provided by the vessel captain from the short-tailed albatross recovery data form provides the veterinarian with a report on the condition of the injured albatross. The information also serves as a record for FWS.

When a dead short-tailed albatross is brought on board the vessel, immediate notification to NMFS and FWS allows the agencies to coordinate and arrange for disposition and study of the specimen following the vessel's return to port. In readying a dead short-tailed albatross for delivery to FWS, it is important that the vessel captain attach an identification tag to the carcass and specimen bag with key information such as species name, location and date of mortality, and band number (if available).

---

<sup>1</sup> "Biological Opinion on the Effects of the Hawaiian Longline Fishery on the Short-tailed Albatross (*Phoebastria albatrus*) [FWS 1-2-1999-F-02R], revised November 18, 2002 and [supplemented October 8, 2004.](#)"

Over a span of 60 plus years that short-tailed albatrosses have been observed around the Hawaiian Islands, there has never been a report or observation of a short-tailed albatross taken by a Hawaii longliner. Although it is unlikely that a short-tailed albatross will be taken in the Hawaii longline fishery, this collection is established as a preparatory procedure required under the BiOp. Estimates of the burden to fishermen are based on the October, 2004 BiOp's estimated take of 1 short-tailed albatross per year.

As explained in the preceding paragraphs, the information gathered has utility. NMFS will retain control over the information and safeguard it from improper access, modification, and destruction, consistent with NOAA standards for confidentiality, privacy, and electronic information. See response to Question 10 of this Supporting Statement for more information on confidentiality and privacy. The information collection is designed to yield data that meet all applicable information quality guidelines. Although the information collected is not expected to be disseminated directly to the public, results may be used in scientific, management, technical or general informational publications. Should NMFS decide to disseminate the information, it will be subject to the quality control measures and pre-dissemination review pursuant to [Section 515 of Public Law 106-554](#).

**3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological techniques or other forms of information technology.**

NMFS continues to investigate information technology advances that would simplify the collection and reduce its burden on fishermen. Most communications between a Hawaii longliner at sea and NMFS are through the telephone (i.e., marine operator), a single side-band radio, or, when an observer is onboard, through a satellite telephone (SAT phone). Some of the Hawaii longliners are capable of communications through a vessel monitoring system unit (VMS); however, the majority of longliners do not have on-board computers associated with VMS for messaging ship-to-shore. VMS communication will probably be the quickest and most effective way to transmit information elements in the future, when more vessels become equipped with the technology to do so. However, currently, this information will be transmitted non-electronically.

**4. Describe efforts to identify duplication.**

NMFS carefully considered whether there were other collections by FWS or other Federal agencies that might meet the information needs presented above. It was concluded that no other collections would meet the at-sea notification, recovery data collection, or specimen identification requirements contained in the BiOp.

**5. If the collection of information involves small businesses or other small entities, describe the methods used to minimize burden.**

All of the vessels in the Hawaii-based longline fishery are small business entities of similar sizes and are affected comparably. No special measures are needed to accommodate different sized businesses. Only a minimum amount of data, as required by the terms and conditions of the BiOp, are collected through this program.

**6. Describe the consequences to the Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently.**

If this information is not conducted, NMFS will not be in compliance with the BiOp. Also, without this collection, the ability of NMFS and FWS to effectively respond to any potential take of a short-tailed albatross in the Hawaii longline fishery would be compromised.

**7. Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with OMB guidelines.**

This collection is consistent with the OMB guidelines, except that its frequency may exceed the minimum quarterly reporting schedule. This is because the collection is varied and unscheduled; its implementation requires immediate action and use of the information.

**8. Provide information on the PRA Federal Register Notice that solicited public comments on the information collection prior to this submission. Summarize the public comments received in response to that notice and describe the actions taken by the agency in response to those comments. Describe the efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.**

NOAA published a Federal Register Notice eliciting comments on the renewal of this collection on September 16, 2011 (76 FR 57715). NOAA received three written comments and two phone calls. The phone calls and one letter expressed concern that NMFS was proposing to discontinue the collection and requested NMFS to continue the collection. Two letters expressed support for continuing the collection. The Pacific Region Office responded to the comments.

**9. Explain any decisions to provide payments or gifts to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.**

No payments or gifts are involved in this collection.

**10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.**

As stated on the recovery data form, data provided concerning the vessel operators are handled as confidential under Section 402b of the Magnuson-Stevens Act and [NOAA Administrative Order 216-100](#). Personal and propriety information is not released to the public.

**11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.**

No questions of a sensitive nature will be asked in this data collection.

**12. Provide an estimate in hours of the burden of the collection of information.**

Annual burden for complete response:

- (a) At-sea notification: 1 vessel x 1 notification/year x 60 min/notification = 1 hour
- (b) Reports: 1 vessel x 1 report/year x 60 min/report = 1 hour
- (c) Specimen Tag: 1 vessel x 1 identification tags/year x 60 min/tag = 1 hour

Total = 3 hours

Estimated annual respondents: 1; responses, 1; hours, 3. The number of respondents is based on an estimated accidental take of a total of one short-tailed albatross annually in the Hawaii-based longline fishery. The take level is consistent with the October 2004 supplement to the Biological Opinion issued by FWS on the effects of the fishery on the short-tailed albatross.

The total annual labor cost to respondents is estimated at \$75 per year. This was derived by multiplying the number of hours of burden each year (3 hours) times an hourly cost rate of \$25, the estimated hourly wage rate for a vessel captain.

**13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to the respondents or record-keepers resulting from the collection (excluding the value of the burden hours in Question 12 above).**

There is no “start-up” capital cost for complying with this requirement. The estimated total annual cost to respondents is \$80 (1 respondent x \$80/year) – primarily ship-to shore communication cost but also including mailing or faxing forms.

**14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.**

The estimated annual cost to the Federal government to administer this collection is \$100 per year, which includes the cost for printing the short-tailed albatross recovery forms and specimen identification tags, and the cost of staff time for receiving/transmitting the data forms to FWS.

**15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments.**

Not Applicable.

**16. For collections whose results will be published, outline the plans for tabulation and publication.**

No formal scientific publications based on these collections are planned at this time. The data will be used for fisheries management and protected species reports, FMP amendments, and evaluations by NMFS, FWS, and the Council. However, subsequent use of the data collected over the next few years may include scientific papers and publications.

**17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons why display would be inappropriate.**

Not applicable.

**18. Explain each exception to the certification statement.**

There are no exceptions.

**B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS**

This collection does not employ statistical methods.

<b>SHORT-TAILED ALBATROSS RECOVERY DATA FORM</b>			
<b>I. CAPTURE INFORMATION:</b>			
Date:	Time:	<b>Location:</b>	
Trip Number:	Specimen Number:	Latitude =	
		Longitude =	
<b>Band Information:</b>	<b>Left Leg:</b>	<b>Right Leg:</b>	
Color: Number:			
Bird alive at capture (circle one)?      Yes      No      (If "No", do not fill out Sections II-V.)			
<b>II. ASSESS BIRD'S CONDITION:</b>			
Answer the following questions by placing an X in the yes or no column. If all questions are answered "yes", the bird may be released following release guidelines as identified in the Handling and Release Guidelines for Short-tailed Albatross.			
<i>Observation Checklist</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Comments</i>
1. Can the bird stand and hold head upright?			
2. Is the bird alert, responsive, aware of surroundings?			
3. Are the eyes open?			
4. Does the bird breathe with its bill closed (i.e., no open bill breathing)?			
5. Does the bird breathe quietly (i.e., no sounds)?			
6. Is the bird holding its wings in a normal position up and against the body (i.e., not drooping or held down)?			
7. Can the bird flap its wings?			
8. Is the bird free from visible damage? (If damaged, the wounds should be noted on bird diagram.)			
9. Is the bird free from hooks or entangled fishing line? (If bird is hooked or entangled in line, note location on bird diagram)?			

**III. TREATMENT**

Note wounds, hooks, and line entanglement on bird diagram.

Veterinarian Contacted:

Date:

Time:

*Date/Time:*

*Treatment Administered:*

**IV. RECOVERY**

*Observation Period*

(Check bird at 30 minutes, 1 hour, and every few hours thereafter;  
use more sheets if necessary)

*Date/Time:*

*Bird Behavior/Condition:*

**V. RELEASE** (Note: Follow release criteria and guidelines as identified in the Handling and Release Guidelines for Short-tailed Albatross.)

Release Date:

Release Time:


Release Location (Lat/Long):

Bird Behavior Upon Release:


## Short-tailed Albatross Figures for Noting Wounds, Hooks, and Lines

(Circle or draw where injury or fishing gear is located on bird.)

*Drawings by Ronald L. Walker*




**Bottom**




**Top**

**Left**



**Right**



**Paperwork Reduction Act Information**

Public Reporting burden for this collection is estimated to average 60 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Regional Administrator, Pacific Islands Region, 1601 Kapiolani Blvd., Suite 1110, Honolulu, Hawaii 96814.

This information is being collected to provide the information needed to maximize the probability of long-term survival of an injured short-tailed albatross that is incidentally hooked or entangled by longline gear during fishing operations conducted by a Hawaii-based longline fishing vessel.

Responses to the collection are required by the October 8, 2004, biological opinion issued by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the authority of the Endangered Species Act and regulations implementing the Fishery Management Plan for Pelagic Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region (ref. 50 CFR 665.35). Data provided concerning the vessel operators are handled as confidential under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (sec.402(b)). Notwithstanding any other provisions of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-580-807]

**Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea: Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated its third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from the Republic of Korea. Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) determined that revocation of the existing antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from the Republic of Korea would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(iii), the Department is revoking the antidumping duty order on PET film from the Republic of Korea.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 20, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tyler Weinhold or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-1121 and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On June 5, 1991, the Department published the antidumping duty order on PET film from the Republic of Korea. *See Antidumping Duty Order and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea*, 56 FR 25669 (June 5, 1991). On September 1, 2010, the Department initiated its third five-year sunset review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from the Republic of Korea. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 75 FR 53664 (September 1, 2010).

As a result of this sunset review, the Department determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be

likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of the Expedited Third Five-Year (Sunset) Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 1135 (January 7, 2011). The Department notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail should the antidumping duty order be revoked.

On September 2, 2011, the ITC published its determination that, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, revocation of the antidumping duty order on PET film from the Republic of Korea would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film From Korea*, 76 FR 54791 (September 2, 2011), and USITC Publication 4254 (August 2011), entitled *Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from Korea: Investigation No. 731-TA-459 (Third Review)*.

**Scope of the Order**

Imports covered by this order are shipments of all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. The films excluded from this review are metalized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer more than 0.00001 inches (0.254 micrometers) thick.

PET film is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 3920.62.00. The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and for customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

**Revocation**

As a result of the determination by the ITC that revocation of the antidumping duty order is not likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d) of the Act, is revoking the antidumping duty order on PET film from the Republic of Korea. Pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the effective date of revocation is October 20, 2010 (*i.e.*, the fifth anniversary of the effective date of publication in the **Federal Register** of

the previous continuation notice of this order).<sup>1</sup>

The Department will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 15 days after publication of this notice, to terminate suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after October 20, 2010. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO which may be subject to sanctions.

This five-year sunset review and notice are in accordance with section 751(d)(2) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 9, 2011.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-23825 Filed 9-15-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Fisheries in the Western Pacific; Proposed Information Collection; Seabird-Fisheries Interaction Recovery Reporting**

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

<sup>1</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film from Korea; Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order*, 70 FR 61118 (October 20, 2005).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before November 15, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dHynek@doc.gov](mailto:dHynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Walter Ikehara, (808) 944-2275 or [Walter.Ikehara@noaa.gov](mailto:Walter.Ikehara@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

This request is for extension of a currently approved information collection.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) requires longline vessel operators to notify NMFS in the event an endangered short-tailed albatross is hooked or entangled during fishing operations. Following the retrieval of the seabird from the ocean, as required by Federal regulations, the vessel captain must record the condition of the injured short-tailed albatross on a recovery data form. The information will be used by a veterinarian in providing advice to the captain caring for the short-tailed albatross. If the albatross is dead, the captain must attach an identification tag to the carcass to assist the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) biologists in follow-up studies on the specimen. This collection is one of the terms and conditions contained in the biological opinion issued by USFWS, and is intended to maximize the probability of the long-term survival of short-tailed albatross accidentally taken by longline gear.

**II. Method of Collection**

Respondents have a choice of either electronic or paper forms. Methods of submittal include e-mail of electronic forms, and mail and facsimile transmission of paper forms within 72 hours of landing.

**III. Data**

*OMB Control Number:* 0648-0456.  
*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission (extension of a currently approved collection).

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations, individuals, or households.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1.

*Estimated Time per Response:* Notification, reporting, and tagging and specimen handling, 1 hour each.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 3.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$80 in recordkeeping/reporting costs, mainly for at-sea communications costs.

**IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 12, 2011.

**Gwellnar Banks,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-23742 Filed 9-15-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**RIN 0648-XA707**

**Notice of Availability of a Draft Companion Manual for Executive Order 11988 Floodplain Management and Executive Order 11990 Protection of Wetlands**

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NOAA announces the availability of a draft companion manual to provide agency-wide guidance for executing compliance with Executive Order 11988 *Floodplain Management* and Executive Order 11990 *Protection of Wetlands*. The companion manual provides procedures and guidance in accordance with

specific sections of Executive Order 11988 and Executive Order 11990. The purpose of the companion manual is to promote quality and consistency in implementing floodplain management and protection of wetlands across the agency and to provide the necessary procedures to agency personnel.

**DATES:** Written comments and input will be accepted on or before September 30, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to Emily Johannes, Senior Environmental Technical Advisor, NOAA, Safety and Environmental Compliance Office, 1315 East-West Highway, Suite 11115, Silver Spring, MD 20910; e-mail [emily.johannes@noaa.gov](mailto:emily.johannes@noaa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Contact Emily Johannes, Senior Environmental Technical Advisor, NOAA, 301-713-2870 x132, [emily.johannes@noaa.gov](mailto:emily.johannes@noaa.gov). A copy of the draft companion manual can be viewed or downloaded at <http://www.seco.noaa.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice announces the availability of a draft companion manual to provide agency-wide guidance for executing compliance with Executive Order 11988—*Floodplain Management* and Executive Order 11990—*Protection of Wetlands*. Executive Orders 11988 and 11990 require Federal agencies to avoid, mitigate, and minimize harm to floodplains and wetlands from land use and construction activities and to consider the public safety and conditions to property located in or adversely affecting floodplains. This companion manual provides procedures and guidance in accordance with section 4(d) of Executive Order 11988 and section 6 of Executive Order 11990. The purpose of the companion manual is to promote quality and consistency in implementing floodplain management and protection of wetlands across the agency. The companion manual provides agency-wide compliance processes and guidance for RPMs to use to efficiently and effectively comply with the Executive Orders when undertaking actions involving Federal lands, land use, or real property. NOAA is accepting comments on the draft companion manual for 15 calendar days, beginning on September 16, 2011, until September 30, 2011.

**Written Comments**

Today's publication is a notice of internal NOAA guidance and not a rulemaking. If you choose to submit comments, please limit such comments to issues pertinent to the companion manual itself and explain the reasons